



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,322	12/10/1999	STEPHEN J. ZACK	533/198	8722
56015	7590	01/09/2006	EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			HUYNH, SON P	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2611		
DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/458,322	ZACK ET AL.	
	Examiner Son P. Huynh	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2005.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 32-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 32-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/25/2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 1-31 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 32-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mao et al. (US 6,886,178) in view of Wu et al. (US 6,594,271).

Regarding claim 32, Mao teaches an information distribution system comprising server equipment (programmer 24 and headend 10 – figure 1) for providing both content and non-content data (video data and webpage and information data) to subscriber equipment (set top 38, TV 40, remote control 36), the server equipment comprising:

a multiplex switch (MPEG remux 14, proxy server 22, computer 32 – figure 1) for multiplexing a plurality of formatted content streams from server modules to produce an output stream that is adapted for transport via a communication channel (MEPG remux 14 in combination with proxy server and computer 32 for multiplexing a plurality of encoded video streams from VTR, camera, external network via encoder 12 and transcoder 20 to produce an output stream that is adapt for transport via communication channel of network 34 – figure 1; col. 6, lines 15-59), wherein the multiplexing is further for formatting non-content data (formatting HTML web pages and control map into MPEG data packets – col. 25, lines 49-62; col. 6, line 60-col. 7, line 40, figure 2) and for selectively multiplexing formatted non-content data into the output stream (computer 32 generates the control map, the HTML pages and the URLs for insertion into the industry standard transport layer of MPEG-2 protocol – col. 6, lines 60-64). However, Mao does

not specifically disclose multiplexing of content streams is statistically performed, and wherein the multiplexing of formatted non-content data is on a future bandwidth availability basis that is predicted based on the multiplexing of the formatted content streams.

Wu discloses multiplexing of content streams is statistically performed (based on TSP's need parameters – col. 6, lines 10-27, and using statistical multiplexing algorithm run on the PM 140...- Col. 4, lines 57-65), wherein the multiplexing of formatted non-content data is on a future bandwidth availability basis that is predicted based on the multiplexing of the formatted content streams (multiplexing of opportunistic data only total bandwidth used for encoded video streams by all the TSP drops below threshold – see including, but are not limited to, col. 4, lines 40-47; col. 5, lines 14-67; col. 6, line 17-26; col. 6, line 55-col. 46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mao to use the teaching as taught by Wu in order to maximize bandwidth utilization and provide cost saving and minimizes disruption to the existing encoders in the field (col. 3, lines 59-61).

Regarding claim 33, Mao in view of Wu teaches the system as discussed in the rejection of claim 32. Mao further teaches the multiplex switch includes a buffer (i.e. proxy 22) for storing non-content data (col. 25, lines 45-48) and a switch controller (i.e. computer 32) for insertion of control map and web pages into the industry standard transport layer of the MPEG-2 protocol (col. 6, lines 60-64). Wu also discloses buffer

162 for buffering the opportunistic data (figure 1) and a switch controller (QLP 130) for determining a bandwidth utilization level of the multiplex switch, the switch controller further for causing at least a portion of the non-content data in the buffer to be multiplexed into the output stream when the bandwidth utilization level falls below a threshold utilization bandwidth level (the QLP 130 causes the opportunistic data from buffer 162 to be multiplexed into the stream when the bandwidth utilization level drops below a threshold utilization bandwidth level – including, but are not limited to, col. 4, lines 40-47; col. 5, lines 14-67; col. 6, line 17-26; col. 6, line 55-col. 46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mao to use the teaching as taught by Wu in order to maximize bandwidth utilization and provide cost saving and minimizes disruption to the existing encoders in the field (col. 3, lines 59-61).

Regarding claim 34, Wu further discloses the threshold bandwidth utilization level comprises a utilization level sufficient to process a single time extent (i.e. service interval for a portion of opportunistic data). Wu further discloses digital audio and video data or any other digital data in MPEG-2 standard – col. 4, lines 6-26, lines 37-40). Thus, the content streams are inherently divided into a plurality of respective time extents (time interval corresponds to a portion/segment of the digital data).

Regarding claim 35, Wu further discloses the content streams contain digital data in MPEG-2 standard (col. 4, lines 6-26 and lines 37-40). Thus, the content streams is

inherently divided into a plurality of respect time extents (time interval associated with each segment/portion of the MPEG-2 stream). Wu further discloses each TSP, including ODP, send statistical information tot he QLP 130, including e.g., a “need parameter” that indicates the bandwidth need of the TSP..., the QLP calculates the total bandwidth and allocates the available bandwidth to each individual TSP in proportion to the TSP’s need parameter. Each TSP sends packets to the multiplexer according to the bandwidth allocation (col. 5, line 10-col. 6, line 27). Since the need parameter and amount of bandwidth allocated to the TSP and the ODP are provided, the predetermined number of time extents (number of packets which associated with a time interval) are multiplexed into the output stream (for example, no packets of opportunistic data is multiplexed into the stream if bandwidth allocated for ODP is zero – col. 6, lines 17-26).

Regarding claim 36, Mao further teaches the non-content data comprises control data (i.e. control information in control map) and non-control data (i.e. web pages, URL), and the multiplex switch preferentially multiplexes the non-content control data (figures 1-4, 7; col. 6, lines 26-64; col. 7, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 37, Mao further teaches the non-content data comprises control data (i.e. control information in control map) and non-control data (i.e., web page, URL), and the multiplex switch preferentially multiplexes the control data (figures 1-4, 7; col. 6, lines 26-64; col. 7, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 38, Mao further teaches the content data includes MPEG data (col. 6, lines 25-67).

Regarding claim 39, Mao further teaches the non-content data includes Internet protocol data (HTML web page, URL, figures 1-5, col. 6, lines 26-64, col. 7, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 40, the limitations of the method as claimed correspond to the limitations of the system as claimed in claim 32 and are analyzed as discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 32.

Regarding claim 41, Wu further teaches storing non-content data until bandwidth availability enables multiplexing of the stored non-content data (storing opportunistic data in buffer 162 until TSPs do not need all available bandwidth of the transport stream – figure 1; col. 5, lines 1-19).

Regarding claims 42-44, the limitations as claimed correspond to the limitations as claimed in claims 35, 38-39, and are analyzed as discussed with respect to the rejection of claims 35, 38-39.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Field et al. (US 6,018,764) discloses mapping uniform resource locators to broadcast addresses in a television signal.

Gordon et al. (US 5,920,700) discloses system for managing the addition/deletion of media assets within a network based on usage and media asset metadata.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son P. Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-7295. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher C. Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SPH
December 27, 2005



CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600